

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/064,809	KELLER, HEINZ	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Arthur L Corbin	1761	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Final Rejection

(1) Arthur L Corbin.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ann Skerry.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 December 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112 and 103

Claims discussed:

1-21

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was suggested to applicant that the application would be allowed if all composition claims were cancelled, with the dependency of the dependent composition claims changed to be made dependent from the method claims. However, applicant would not agree since applicant believes that all composition claims are allowable over the art of record..